



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,956	04/12/2001	Catherine Lin-Hendel		3820

7590
Jean-Marc Zimmerman
226 St. Paul Street
Westfield, NJ 07090

03/22/2007

EXAMINER

WINTER, JOHN M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3621

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/833,956

Applicant(s)

LIN-HENDEL, CATHERINE

Examiner

John M. Winter

Art Unit

3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 18-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status

Claims 18-38 have been examined.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on January 11, 2007 have been fully considered.

The Examiner submits that the amended claims are rejected in view of the newly discovered reference Garavini (US Patent 7,080,070) in view of Wells (US Patent 6,711,586).

See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims recite the limitation "enabling a user" this limitation is vague and indefinite, no limitation is imposed upon the claimed invention because the mere enablement of a function or process is not a positive claim limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18- 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garavini (US Patent 7,080,070) in view of Wells (US Patent 6,711,586).

As per claim 18,

Garavini ('070) discloses a method for conducting on-line commerce, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 3621

enabling a user to selectively designate a plurality of different items to be purchased in a single search, wherein the step of enabling is performed so as to enable the user to avoid searching for each different item of the plurality of different items one item at a time; (Column 2, lines 23-60)

displaying information found during the single search regarding said plurality of different items; and purchasing on-line all or some of said plurality of different items. (Figure 5)

Garavini ('070) does not explicitly disclose searching, during the single search, for all of said plurality of different items on a plurality of data sources. Wells ('586) discloses searching, during the single search, for all of said plurality of different items on a plurality of data sources. (Column 2, lines 6-46 [Examiner notes that multiple web pages can be construed as multiple data sources]) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Garavini ('070) method with the Wells ('586) method in order create a more efficient searching system by combining searches.

Claim 34 is in parallel with claim 19 and is rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 19,

Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18, wherein at least one of the plurality of data sources is a website. (Column 3, lines 28-57)

As per claim 20,

Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18, wherein at least one of the plurality of data sources is a database. (Column 4, lines 1-11)

As per claim 21,

Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18, further comprising the step of:
enabling the user to selectively specify at least one of the plurality of data sources to be searched. (Abstract)

As per claim 22,

Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18, wherein at least one of the plurality of data sources is a default data source specified by a user.(Figure 5)

As per claim 23,

Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18, wherein the step of displaying step information includes the step of:
displaying search results of the single search on a display device for viewing by the user, the search results providing information regarding all of said plurality of different items searched. (Figure 5)

As per claim 24,

Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 23,

Art Unit: 3621

wherein if a particular one of the plurality of data sources that was searched does not have a particular one of the plurality of different items available for sale, that particular data source indicates if any of the other plurality of data sources searched has the item available for sale. (Column 11, lines 29-61 and column 6 lines 53-61)

Claim 37 is in parallel with claim 24 and is rejected for at least the same reason.

As per claim 25,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 23,
wherein the step of displaying search results step includes the step of:
displaying in a plurality of lists the information found during the single search, each one of the plurality of lists displaying search results from a different one of the plurality of data sources searched.(Figure 5)

As per claim 26,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 25,
wherein the step of purchasing sky includes: enabling the user to place one order for purchasing all or some said of said plurality of different items.(Figure 6)

As per claim 27,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
further comprising the step of enabling a user to specify display criteria whereby the information found in the search is displayed according to the display criteria specified by the user. (Figure 14)

Claim 38 is in parallel with claim 27 and id rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 28,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
Official Notice is taken that "searching certain data sources of the plurality of data sources in a prioritized order specified by the user" is common and well known in prior art in reference to online shopping. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prioritize a search in order to allow the customer to search more efficiently.

As per claim 29,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
further comprising the steps of: displaying a list of preferred data sources on a display device; prompting the user to edit the list of preferred data sources;
receiving, from the user input provided in response to the step of prompting,; and
editing the list of preferred data sources in accordance with the input provided by the user in response to the step of prompting to determine the plurality of data sources;
wherein the steps of displaying the list of preferred data sources, prompting, receiving, and editing are performed before the step of searching.(Figure 10, Column 8, lines 11-49)

Art Unit: 3621

Claim 35 is in parallel with claim 29 and is rejected for at least the same reason.

As per claim 30,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
wherein the plurality of different items comprises a shopping list. (Figure 10)

As per claim 31,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
further comprising the step of: prompting the user to exclude at least one item from the
plurality of different items before the step of searching. (Figure 21)

As per claim 32,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
wherein the plurality of different items includes different types of items. (Figure 10)

As per claim 33,
Garavini ('070) discloses the method according to Claim 18,
wherein the step of purchasing sky includes the step of placing a single order for each of
the plurality of different items to be purchased, at a corresponding data source, selected and
approved by the user. (Figure 25A)

Claim 36 is in parallel with claim 33 and is rejected or at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



John Winter
Patent Examiner -- 3621



ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600